



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,603	02/09/2004	Michael E. Anderson	ANSN-101	1627

7590 04/16/2009
Law Offices of Morland C. Fischer
Suite 1300
2030 Main Street
Irvine, CA 92614

EXAMINER

AGGARWAL, YOGESH K

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2622

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/16/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/775,603	Applicant(s) ANDERSON, MICHAEL E.	
	Examiner YOGESH K. AGGARWAL	Art Unit 2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 16-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 16-20 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Katayama (US PG-PUB 20030090690). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama et al. (US PG-PUB 20030090690) in view of Shiota et al. (US 20040135904).

[Claim 16]

Katayama teaches a method by which photographic images taken by and stored in a digital camera are downloaded to a computer at which the photographic images are located for viewing (Paragraphs 68 and 69), said method comprising the steps of: annotating said photographic images by assigning markers to identify different sets of said images (Paragraph 125, labeling); copying the photographic images taken by the camera into high resolution images and low resolution images (See figures 13 and 14, e.g. resolution 1800x1200 and 1536x1024); transferring said high resolution images to a high resolution storage folder for processing (e.g. folder Dsc00001); creating subtopic folders in the computer, downloading said low resolution images to image folders of the computer (See figure 13); assigning labels to each of the different sets of low resolution images corresponding to the markers assigned thereto and locating the

Art Unit: 2622

different sets of low resolution images in respective image folders according to said labels (labels are assigned to any images as in paragraph 125); merging said image folders with said subtopic folders and transferring said image and subtopic folders to a computer server folder (figure 14 shows image folders and subtopic folders are merged); accessing, viewing and placing an order for a particular set of low resolution images in a particular one of the image folders located at said computer server folder; and filling the order for the set of low resolution images from the high resolution images at said high resolution storage folder (Paragraphs 158-160).

Katayama fails to teach wherein the labels are assigned to images according to subject of images and accessing and viewing the images depending upon the label of said one image folder and the subject of said particular set of images located therein corresponding to events associated with the photographic images.

However Shiota et al. teaches that corresponding event and the area name may be associated with the image data and the image data may be sorted by storing the image data in a folder for the corresponding event to the and the corresponding area name as illustrated in FIG. 10 (Paragraph 75). Area name corresponding to “fujimi elementary school and school athletic festival” corresponds to the label according to the subject of images i.e. the area name and the event name correspond to images stored corresponding to the subject in the particular folder. Shiota further teaches that the sorted image data can be enjoyed as a photo album to which a title of the corresponding event has been assigned (Paragraph 77).

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Katayama and Shiota, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have assigned labels to images according to subject of images and accessing and viewing the images depending upon

Art Unit: 2622

the label of said one image folder and the subject of said particular set of images located therein in order to sort the images based on events and subjects making the sorting process less confusing and easier to understand.

4. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama et al. (US PG-PUB 20030090690), Shiota et al. (US 20040135904) and further in view of Walker et al. (US PG-PUB # 2004/0174434).

[Claims 17-19]

Katayama in view of Shiota fails to teach wherein said digital camera includes a mass media storage device adapted to store sound data and the photographic images taken by the camera to be downloaded to the computer, said method comprising the additional step of annotating said photographic images by means of sound markers, locked markers or flat markers spoken into and stored by the mass media storage device. However Walker teaches metadata including sound, sky/grass (flat image or locked image) to be used to annotate the image (Paragraph 119).

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Katayama, Shiota and Walker, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have used annotating said photographic images by means of sound markers, locked markers or flat markers in order to give the user versatility in annotating the image thus making the process user-friendly.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katayama et al. (US PG-PUB 20030090690), Shiota et al. (US 20040135904) and further in view of Bryant et al. (US Patent # 7,349,010) and in further view of Haas et al. (US PG-PUB # 2004/0012810).

[Claim 20]

Art Unit: 2622

Katayama in view of Shiota fails to teach displaying the particular set of images being accessed and viewed, displaying an order form to be completed by which to enable one or more of the images from the particular set of images being accessed and viewed to be acquired and initiating a timer by which to limit the time available during which to complete said order form. However Bryant teaches displaying a particular set of images (col. 22 lines 63-66, figure 15). Bryant teaches displaying an order form to be completed by which to enable one or more of the images from the particular set of images being accessed and viewed to be acquired (col. 23 lines 2-4). Therefore taking the combined teachings of Katayama, Shiota and Bryant, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have particular set of images being accessed and viewed in order for the user to view all the images easily by just pressing one button.

Katayama, Shiota in view of Bryant fails to teach the additional step of initiating a timer by which to limit the time available during which to complete said order form. However Haas teaches ordering an image within three seconds during which to complete ordering the images on a slide show (Paragraph 0036). Therefore taking the combined teachings of Katayama, Shiota, Bryant and Haas, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have a timer by which to limit the time available during which to complete said order form in order to let the user order images within a set period of time ensuring a quicker process.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH K. AGGARWAL whose telephone number is (571)272-7360. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571)-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yogesh K Aggarwal/
Examiner, Art Unit 2622